

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/938,288 08/23/2001 Gerald A. Pierson 18554F 1186 . EXAMINER 7590 11/12/2003 Jeffrey S. Whittle, Esq. VARGOT, MATHIEU D Allen, Dyer, Doppelt, Milbrath & Gilchrist, P.A. P.O. Box 3791 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Orlando, FL 32802-3791 1732

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/938,288	PIERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	<u>ugust 2001</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-43 are</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-43 are</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/938,288

Art Unit: 1732

action:

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al. Smith et al discloses the instant method of forming an optical compact disk by molding at least one plastic layer (see 10 and 30 in Fig. 5—ie, in this case, there are two layers), the at least one plastic layer having the instant major and minor elevational portions with the major one (16) containing encoded digital data and the minor one (the lower surface of adaptor 30) being devoid of the data.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 36-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

The applied reference discloses the basic claimed method lacking basically the aspect of the major elevational portion having an arcuately extending first pair of outer side peripheries—note in the embodiment of Fig. 5 of Smith et al, the major elevational portion would have the shape of a trading card and be rectangular, although the actual portion containing the data thereon would be in the conventional shape of a circle. It is submitted that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have made the major elevational portion in Smith et al with arcuate outer peripheries dependent on the exact design desired on the trading card. Smith et al shows the encoded data to be formed within a circular zone in the medial portion of the optical disk and that such constitutes less than the entire surface of the major elevational portion as set forth in instant claim 37—see Figures 5, 12 and 13. Again, the reference is being interpreted as disclosing a major elevational portion in the shape of the trading card, such being placed on an adaptor, the surface of which constitutes the minor elevational portion. Smith et al teaches applying a metal layer on the data (col. 8, line 64) and the use of additional plastic protective layers over the data, such being nothing but conventional in the art as is the formation of the opening through the medial portion of the compact disk so the disk can be accessed on a player.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 703 308-2621. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 09/938,288

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 703 305-5493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

M. Vargot

November 10, 2003

MATHIEU D. VARGOT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300